## CHILDREN'S SERVICES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Conservative	Independent	Labour	Non-aligned	Co-opted etc
1. Cllr M Revell (Vice Chair)		1. Cllr A Gaywood (Chair)		Church/governor reps.
2. Cllr Coxshall		2. Cllr D Hale		Not Council
3. Cllr MacPherson		3. Cllr C Kent		appointments
Substitutes		Substitutes	Substitutes	
1. Cllr Carr		1. Cllr W Curtis		
2. Cllr Maney		2.		
3. Cllr Nicklen		3.		

Topic Name	Description of areas to be explored	Why this should be scrutinised	Outcome	Lead Officer	Expected Completion Date
North East Schools Project Plan and South Ockendon	Potential re-shaping of school provision in NE and in South Ockendon	We have projected over-supply of places in these areas (this is contested) Changes to school organisation are community and politically highly sensitive	Shared understanding of the underpinning data Properly tested options Fuller community involvement in the consultation process and decision making	CS	September 2011
Early Intervention Strategy	Analysis of current best practice Analysis of evidence based practice in improving outcomes for children	Resource and policy changes mean a fundamental re-shaping of the service provided to date	CC properly located in an Early Intervention Strategy Resource more effectively targeted to achieve better outcomes for most needy children and families Thurrock Munro compliant	CL/BF	September 2011
VAWG Joint with health and community safety OSCs	National action plan, priority setting for Thurrock	This is a very broad and complex agenda, risk of hobby-horses and failing to tackle the biggest issues	A local action plan owned by all stakeholders	BF	December 2011

## **WORK PROGRAMME**

5 July 201	1	ITEM 8

Topic Name  Description of areas to be explored  This needs all partner/system engagement  Pattern of current service delivery Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Pesttern of current service delivery Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Service has very poor reputation with schools  CAMHS*  Pattern of current service delivery exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor reputation with schools	Expected Completion Date  November 2011 (?)  Sept 2011
This needs all partner/system engagement  CAMHS*  Pattern of current service delivery Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Asset Management*  This needs all partner/system engagement  Provision (with the exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	November 2011 (?)
CAMHS*  Pattern of current service delivery Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Pattern of current engagement  Provision (with the exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	
CAMHS*  Pattern of current service delivery comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Provision (with the exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	
CAMHS*  Pattern of current service delivery Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Provision (with the exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  NS/SMC  NS/SMC  exception of TAMHS) has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	
Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Comparative analysis has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	
Comparative analysis with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  Comparative analysis has not been well regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led  Service has very poor  CS	Sept 2011
with best in class Options to strengthen provision across all tiers/need groups  regarded and is provider led rather than commissioner led tiers/need groups  Service has very poor  CS	Sept 2011
provision across all commissioner led tiers/need groups  Asset Management*  Service has very poor  CS	Sept 2011
tiers/need groups  Asset Management* Service has very poor CS	Sept 2011
Asset Management* Service has very poor CS	Sept 2011
	Sept 2011
reputation with schools	· · ·
SEN New national policy Local strategy needed CL	Sept 2011
direction Performance locally	
Local SWAT variable	
Options locally Cost pressures need to	
be managed effectively	
Standards Early Years, CP/CL	Nov 2011
Primary, Secondary**	
Schools OFSTED Progress schools Satisfy members that	Nov 2011
gradings** causing concern LA intervention and	
support plans are	
making the accelerated	
difference needed to	
achieve the council's	
objective of every	
Safeguarding and Child Progress against Fulfilling safeguarding BF	Jan 2012
Safeguarding and Child Progress against Fulfilling safeguarding BF Protection** responsibility	Jan 2012
Serious cases	
Conous cases	
Children in Care** Progress against Fulfilling safeguarding BF	Jan 2012
improvement plans responsibility	
Serious cases	Juli 2012
	0411 20 12

## **WORK PROGRAMME**

5 July 2011

ITEM 8

Tania Nama	Decemention of orese	M/by this abould be	Outcome	Jack Officer	
Topic Name	Description of areas to be explored	Why this should be scrutinised	Outcome	Lead Officer	Expected Completion Date
Youth Offending Service**	Progress against improvement plans Serious cases	Fulfilling safeguarding responsibility		JW/BF	Jan 2012
Review & Refresh CYPP*				JO	March 2012
Inclusion & Attendance	New national policy direction Local SWAT Options locally	Local strategy needed Performance locally variable Cost pressures need to be managed effectively		MT/CL	April 2012
PRU and Alternative Provision (MT/CL)	New national policy direction Local SWAT Options locally	Local strategy needed Performance locally variable Cost pressures need to be managed effectively			April 2012
Admissions (joint with Admissions forum)					